



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,255		06/28/2001	Sherry Chu-Hsin Hsu	50P4299.01/1575	9177
24272	7590	06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Gregory J. Koerner				TRAN, ELLEN C	
Redwood Patent Law 1291 East Hillsdale Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 205				2134	
Foster City, CA 94404				DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	09/896,255	HSU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Ellen C. Tran	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three-months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u>	ne 2001.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(a)		•					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summan	v (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		rart of Paper No./Mail Date 20050620					

Application/Control Number: 09/896,255 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communication: original application filed 28 June 2001, with acknowledgement of continuing filing date of 7 December 2000.

2. Claims 1-42 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 21, 41, and 42 are independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language

4. Claims 1-3, 5-24, and 26-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ober et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,708,273 (hereinafter '273).

As to independent claim 21, "A method for performing a data encryption operation in an electronic system, comprising the steps of: creating an encryption structure in a memory device by utilizing a processor" is taught in '273 col. 2, lines 19-48;

"programming control registers with said processor to thereby facilitate efficiently performing said data encryption operation" is shown in '273 col. 5, lines 54-63;

"accessing said encryption structure and said control registers with a DMA engine to thereby control said data encryption operation; and" is disclosed in '273 col. 4, lines 46-60;

Application/Control Number: 09/896,255

Art Unit: 2134

"processing source data with an encryption module to produce destination data during said data encryption operation" is taught in '273 col. 9, lines 36-67.

As to dependent claim 22, "wherein said data encryption operation includes at least one of a data encryption process and a data decryption process" is shown in '273 col. 2, lines 32-47.

As to dependent claim 23, "wherein said memory device receives said source data from a source entity coupled to said electronic system, said memory device responsively storing said source data into a source data memory location until said encryption module requires said source data to perform said data encryption operation" is disclosed in '273 col. 11, lines 7-43.

As to dependent claim 25, "wherein said electronic system includes a bridge device that facilitates bi-directional communications between said processor, one or more peripheral devices, said DMA engine, said encryption module, and said memory device" is shown in '273 col. 11, lines 1-43.

As to dependent claim 26, "wherein said bridge device includes a processor interface for communicating with said processor, a memory interface for communicating with said memory device, and one or more peripheral interfaces for communicating with said one or more peripheral devices" is disclosed in '273 col. 11, lines 32-43.

As to dependent claim 27, "wherein said encryption structure includes at least one command structure that has command information for performing said data encryption operation" is taught in '273 in col. 6, line 66 through col. 7, line 23.

As to dependent claim 28, "wherein said command structure includes a starting source address, a starting destination address, a transfer-bytes total field, a next command-structure pointer, and a control status command" is shown in '273 col. 25, lines 35-41.

As to dependent claim 29, "wherein said control status command includes an encryption/decryption field to indicate whether to perform one of said encryption process and said decryption process, an enabled/disabled field to indicate whether said data encryption operation is currently enabled, an interrupt field to designate whether an interrupt should occur following said data encryption operation, a last command field to indicate a final command structure in a linked list, and a transfer path identifier to indicate a source entity for said source data and a destination entity for destination data" is disclosed in '273 in tables 1 & 2, as well as claim 1.

As to dependent claim 30, "wherein said encryption structure includes a series of command structures that are linked together in a linked list to thereby perform a series of data encryption operations" is taught in '273 col. 5, line 41 through col. 6, line 33.

As to dependent claim 31, "wherein said DMA engine includes a state machine for controlling said data encryption operation, one or more command registers for locally storing one or more command structures from said encryption structure, said control registers, a data buffer, an encryption key register, and said encryption module" is shown in '273 col. 5, line 41 through col. 6, line 33.

As to dependent claim 32, "wherein said control registers include a start register that said processor may program to start said data encryption operation, a halt/resume register that said processor may program to halt or resume said data encryption operation,

a clear interrupt register that said processor may program to clear an interrupt of said data encryption operation, a link list address register that said processor may program with a physical address in said memory device of a first command structure in said encryption structure, and a status register that said DMA engine may program to indicate

a current status of said data encryption operation" is disclosed in '273 in tables 1 & 2, as

well as claim 1.

As to dependent claim 33, "wherein said processor initially creates said encryption structure in said memory device, said encryption structure including one or more command structures that each include command information for performing a separate data encryption operation" is taught in '273 col. 7, lines 23-52.

As to dependent claim 34, "wherein said processor programs said control registers with data encryption information that is then locally available to said DMA engine for performing said data encryption operation" is shown in '273 col. 7, lines 23-52.

As to dependent claim 35, "wherein said processor instructs said DMA engine to perform said data encryption operation after programming said control registers, said processor then releasing control of said data encryption operation and performing other system processing tasks for said electronic system" is disclosed in '273 col. 7, lines 44-67.

As to dependent claim 36, "wherein said DMA engine copies one or more designated command structures from said encryption structure in said memory device into one or more command registers that are locally coupled to said DMA engine" is taught in '273 col. 7, line 65 through col. 8, line 23.

As to dependent claim 37, "wherein said DMA engine controls said data encryption operation by referring to said control registers and said command registers" is shown in '273 col. 11, lines 14-24.

As to dependent claim 38, "wherein a state machine coupled to said DMA engine transfers said source data from said memory device to a data buffer coupled to said encryption module, said encryption module responsively performing at least one of said data encryption process and said data decryption process to produce said destination data, said state machine then storing said destination data back into said memory device" is disclosed in '273 col. 11, lines 1-24.

As to dependent claim 39, "wherein said DMA engine detects a completion condition while performing said data encryption operation, said DMA engine responsively notifying said processor regarding said completion condition" is taught in '273 col. 7, lines 43-52.

As to dependent claim 40, "wherein said processor transfers said destination data from said memory device to a destination entity that is coupled to said electronic system" is shown in '273 col. 7, lines 65 through col. 8, line 55.

As to independent claim 1, this claim is directed to an apparatus performing the method of claim 21 therefore it is rejected along similar rationale.

As to dependent claims 2-3; these claims are substantially similar to dependent claims 22 and 23; therefore they are rejected along similar rationale.

As to dependent claims 5-20, these claims contain substantially similar subject matter as claims 25-40; therefore they are rejected along similar rationale.

As to independent claim 41, this claim is directed to the apparatus for performing the method of claim 21 therefore it is rejected along similar rationale.

As to independent claim 42, this claim is directed to an apparatus for performing a data processing operation of the method of claim 21 therefore it is rejected along similar rationale.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '273 in further view of Okaue et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,820,203 (hereinafter '203).

As to dependent claim 24, the following is not taught in '273 "wherein said electronic system is implemented as one of an audio/visual electronic device, a consumer electronics device, a portable electronics device, and a computer device" however '203 teaches "The security unit is particularly useful as part of a memory unit that is attachable to a recording/reproduction device such as a digital audio recorder/player" in col. 2, lines 57-61.

Art Unit: 2134

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of '273 a secure communication platform on an integrated circuit which incorporates a high performance cryptographic function to include a means to send information to an audio/visual portable device. One in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to maintain security on a small circuit scale (see '273 col. 2 lines 28-48) "Accordingly, a memory card with an internal security unit may be provided with two types of registers: an accessible register for storing data to be transferred to the set in response to a command requesting the same; and a non-accessible register for storing an intermediate calculation result of the encryption process. Consequently, with two registers, the circuit scale of the security unit becomes large. This hampers the ability to increase the integration of the security unit structured as an IC chip. When the encryption process is to be performed a number of times, in order to remove a register that temporarily stores data, it is necessary to employ a plurality of encryption circuits so as to obtain all final data (encrypted data) at about the same time. Thus, in this case, the circuit scale also increases ... Accordingly, an object of the present invention is to provide a security unit that allows security to be maintained in a small circuit scale. Another object of the invention is to provide a memory unit that includes a security unit with a small circuit scale".

As to dependent claim 4, this claim contains substantially similar subject matter as claim 24; therefore it is are rejected along similar rationale.

#### Conclusion

Page 9

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3842. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ellen Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2134
20 June 2005

David Y. Jung Primary Examiner